

AUG 11 2006

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JORAWAR SINGH JASWAL,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71776

Agency No. A79-565-879

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

August 7, 2006**

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, REINHARDT and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

The Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioner’s second motion to reopen filed more than 23 months after the BIA’s final order because the motion to reopen was untimely and numerically barred, and did not meet any of the regulatory exceptions. *See* 8 C.F.R.

§ 1003.2(c)(2), (3); *Rodriguez-Lariz v. INS*, 282 F.3d 1218, 1222 (9th Cir. 2002) (the BIA’s denial of a motion to reopen is reviewed for abuse of discretion).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.